

## 1 Thinning experiment in mixed Scot pine and Pyrenean oak stands

### 2 Objectives:

- 3 1) to decipher temporal complementarity by species differences in intra-annual
- 4 cumulative radial increment patterns,
- 5 2) to evaluate the effects of thinning and climate intra-annual radial increment
- 6 patterns for both species.

### 7 1. Materials and methods

#### 8 2.1. *Study site and experimental design*

9 The experimental design was located in Palacio de Valdellorma (León, 42° 45' 42.4'' N,  
10 005° 12' 39.6'' W) in north-western Spain. The experimental site was sited at 990 m.a.s.l.  
11 in a continental Mediterranean climate. The average annual rainfall is 515 mm with a  
12 marked summer drought episode between July and August, when 42 mm of  
13 precipitation are usually recorded (AEMET, 2016; Spanish State Meteorological  
14 Agency. 2661 Code of weather station. Based on 1981-2010 historical records). Annual  
15 mean temperature is 11.1 °C and the hottest month is July, with an average temperature  
16 of 27.4 °C. The probability of frost period is from December to February. Topography  
17 was moderate with a slope of 16% and soils consisted in acid conglomerates based on  
18 Miocene clay sediments (IGM). Weather of sampling years (2016-2017) was  
19 characterized by severe summer drought. Moreover, 2017 was a very dry year due to a  
20 low precipitation at the beginning of spring (March-April) and at the end of autumn  
21 (October). Besides, average of daily maximum temperatures for warmest month was  
22 higher than mean historical records (29.4-28.4 vs. 27.4°C).

23The forest is a mixed stand composed with *Q.pyrenaica* and *P.sylvestris* species. The  
24stand was originated during 1970's by a re-introduction of pine after a harvest and  
25bulldozer ripping of an original oak coppice stand. Afforestation was carried out after  
26harvest through planting pines by strips. Oak coppice sprouts grew again via asexual  
27reproduction between pines strips. Pine trees are even-aged (40 years old) and real  
28cambial age of oak trees is unknown. Today, the stand is a mixed forest with a  
29horizontal distribution of mixing species disposed by rows and with closed canopy  
30cover.

31Nine rectangular plots (50 x 40 m) were established in a Latin square design. The  
32experimental design consisted of two thinning treatments with different intensity and  
33unthinned control with three replicates each. Thinning treatment consisted in  
34moderately and heavy thinning removing 25% and 50 % of initial basal area (Table 1).  
35Both species were removed applying thinning from below, which involved logging the  
36suppressed and intermediate trees. Thinning was carried out at the end of 2015 and the  
37felled logs and branches were removed from plots. There were no statistical differences  
38between treatments for each species before thinning (Table 1) according *mctp* function  
39from 'nparcomp' R package (Konietschke et al., 2015), which computes the estimator of  
40nonparametric relative effects based on global rankings, simultaneous confidence  
41intervals and adjusted p-values based on contrasts Tukey contrasts. However, statistical  
42differences were identified after thinning. Pine density differed between all three  
43treatments, although there were no differences in tree diameter for moderate and heavy  
44thinning either between moderate thinning and control basal area. There were not  
45statistical differences between moderate and heavy thinning for density, diameter and  
46basal area of oak trees after thinning (Table 1).

47Table 1. Main stand characteristics before and after thinning for each species. Data  
 48shown are mean and standard deviation values by treatment. Different letters denote  
 49significant differences after thinning at the 0.05 significance level.

Species	Treatment	H <sub>0</sub> (m)	Before thinning			After thinning		
			Density (n·ha <sup>-1</sup> )	DBH (cm)	BA (m <sup>2</sup> ·ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Density (n·ha <sup>-1</sup> )	DBH (cm)	BA (m <sup>2</sup> ·ha <sup>-1</sup> )
<b>Pinus sylvestris</b>	<b>Control</b>	12.5±1.	1,415±13	12.2±4.	19.6±2.	1,415±130	12.2±4.3a	19.6±2.3
	<b>Moderate</b>	10.7±1.	1,575±90	12.0±4.	19.5±3.	710±240b	16.0±3.3b	13.7±5.5
	<b>Heavy (50%)</b>	11.7±1.	1,580±13	11.8±4.	20.9±3.	390±197a	15.1±3.5b	9.0±4.6a
<b>Quercus pyrenaica</b>	<b>Control</b>	10.9±1.	2,960±71	5.9±3.2	12.6±1.	2,960±710	5.9±3.2a	12.6±1.6
	<b>Moderate</b>	10.7±0.	2,855±36	6.6±3.5	12.1±1.	1,195±286	9.2±4.6b	8.8±1.7a
	<b>Heavy (50%)</b>	11.3±1.	2,005±76	6.6±4.1	8.7±5.0	430±427a	9.3±6.3b	4.0±2.9a

H<sub>0</sub>: dominant height; DBH: diameter at breast height; BA: basal area.

## 50 2.2. Stem radial variation measurements

51We installed band dendrometers (DB 20, EMS Brno) after thinning treatment on five  
 52trees per species and plot. A total of 90 bands dendrometer were fitted at breast height  
 53(1.30 m) after smoothing and removal of the outermost dead bark to avoid the influence  
 54of hygroscopic bark swelling and shrinkage on dendrometer measurements. Trees were  
 55selected within the plots using stratified sampling approach that took diameter  
 56distribution into account. Overall, selected trees were carefully chosen attempting that  
 57local competition was representative to the plot thinning treatment. Band dendrometers

58 were read every two weeks from March to December along 2016 and 2017 years with a  
59 resolution of 0.1 mm. Besides, the measurements were corrected according thermal  
60 expansion ( $11.2 \times 10^{-6} \text{mm}^{\circ}\text{C}^{-1}$ ). Finally, girth increment data were transformed to  
61 radial increments based on a hypothetical cylindrical tree shape.

62